

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,577	12/27/2004	Daniel Levner	904.0102.U1(US)	2523
29683 HARRINGTO	7590 06/04/2007 N & SMITH, PC		EXAMINER	
4 RESEARCH	DRIVE	·	PAK, SUNG H	
SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2874	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
,			06/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			B			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-			
	10/519,577	LEVNER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sung H. Pak	2874				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08.	August 2006.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
· ·	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,16-19 and 30</u> is/are pending in t	he application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	• •					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8,16-19 and 30</u> is/are rejected						
7) Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	·	- ' '	• •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documer</li> </ol>	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		<del></del>				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	n received in this National S	Stage			
application from the International Bures		t ropolyod				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies no	i receiveu.				
Attachment(s)	🗖					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) L Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 2874

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's amendment filed 8/08/2006 has been entered. Claims 1-8, 16-19, and 30 are now pending. All pending claims have been carefully reconsidered by the examiner in view of applicant's arguments for patentability. After a careful reconsideration, the previous ground of claim rejection is hereby withdrawn. However, the pending claims of the present application are not in condition for allowance, and a new ground of rejection is provided in this office action based on a newly cited prior art. Accordingly, this office action is NOT made final.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 5-8, 16-19, 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Villeneuve et al (US 6,424,763 B1).

Villeneuve reference discloses an optical device with all limitations set forth in the above mentioned claims of the instant application. Specifically, Villeneuve discloses: an optical device comprising at least two waveguides ('302', '304' in Fig. 3) in at least one propagation layer of grating material, a first one of said waveguides ('302') adapted for transportation input radiation from a first input port to output radiation exiting from a first output port (Col. 3, Il. 41-

Art Unit: 2874

45) and a second one of said waveguides ('304') transporting input radiation from a second input port to output radiation exiting from a second output port (Col. 3, Il. 21-45), and a one- or two dimensional supergrating in a modulation layer of grating material ('306' Fig. 3; Col. 2, Il. 41-56) for coupling input radiation propagating from one of said first and second input ports along a corresponding waveguide to the other of said first and second waveguides (Col. 1, Il. 46-50; col 1, Il. 61-67);

wherein said one or two dimensional supergrating couples input radiation in said first waveguide to said second waveguide in a direction substantially parallel (Fig. 3) and opposite direction (Col. 2, Il. 57-65);

wherein there are array of controllable means ('312, 314, 316, 318, 320' in Fig. 3), responsive to a set of control signals, for altering the modal index of refraction value in corresponding pixels in said array (Col. 3, Il. 54- col. 4, Il. 4) in at least tow modes including a first mode in which said device couples input radiation in said first waveguide traveling in a first direction to said second waveguide traveling in a second direction substantially parallel to said first direction and a second mode in which said device couples input radiation in said first waveguide traveling in a first direction to said second waveguide traveling in a second direction substantially opposite to said first direction (Col. 2, Il. 1-14);

wherein said array of controllable means responsive to a set of control signals that are adapted to switch radiation of any of N different wavelengths between said first and second waveguides (Col. 3, Il. 8-20) in said first and second modes in response to corresponding values of said control signal, whereby said device may be controlled to pass radiation in any one of N wavelengths from any of said input ports to any of said output ports (Col. 2, Il. 1-14).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: As discussed above, a wavelength dependent add/drop waveguide device having 2 parallel waveguides with a supergrating coupling portion is known in the art.

However, none of the prior art fairly teaches or suggests an optical waveguide device further comprising, inter alia, a supergrating coupler having a central portion disposed between the two waveguides having a grating pattern that is opposite from an outer portion of the supergrating coupler disposed outside the two waveguides, as claimed in the instant application.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sung H. Pak whose telephone number is (571) 272-2353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 9AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (571)272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,577 Page 5

Art Unit: 2874

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sung H. Pak

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2874